



Article Manuscripts Evaluation Form

Reviewer's contact information

Name	
Last Name	
Position	
Institution	
E-mail	
ORCID	
Country	
Languages	

Submission Information

Article manuscript title:

Date of submission:

Date of evaluation:

Evaluation Questions

Please *succinctly* answer each of the following questions, providing support to your opinions about the manuscript:

1. Does the paper examines a well-defined, original problem or topic, and in relation to relevant historiographic debates?
2. Does the author employ an explicit, coherent, and updated theoretical-methodological approach?
3. Does the author employ updated methodological strategies that are appropriate to examine the paper's organizing problem or main topic?

4. Are the main arguments or hypotheses convincing, and well-substantiated with pertinent and representative evidence?

5. Please comment on the paper's organization and writing. Does the manuscript have a coherent structure? Is the author's writing style appropriate? Should any section be reduced or deleted? Are the tables, graphs and/or figures pertinent and appropriate?

6. Does the manuscript make a significant contribution to any area of the agrarian history/historiography of Latin America? If so, please explain briefly.

7. Please mention any other aspects about the manuscript that you find relevant to make a decision, and that have not been covered in your answers to the preceding questions.

Reviewer's final recommendation:

1. Please indicate your recommendation by choosing one of the following options:

a) ___Accepted, only minor changes are necessary.

b) ___Accepted, pending major revision and substantial changes.

c) ___Revise and resubmit.

d) ___Rejection.

2. If you recommended either (a) or (b), please *briefly* explain the main changes that the author should introduce.